Medical uses of Sodium thiosulfate
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Background

Sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃, STS) is an industrial compound which has a long history of medical use. Since it is employed as a food preservative, the general population is widely exposed to this non-toxic compound. For example, it is typically added to table salt at less than 0.1% and to alcoholic beverages at less than 0.0005%. It is generally available as a non-prescription oral product.

One of the early medical uses of STS was in the successful treatment of arsenic, lead, mercury and bismuth poisoning. Halliday and Sutherland described using intravenous STS in a moribund case of arsenic poisoning. There was an immediate response with eventual full recovery. Shiels described the use of STS to treat chronic lead poisoning at the Mount Ina mine in Australia. It was then the largest single lead mine in the world. There were dozens of lead poisoning cases being diagnosed each year. Many such cases were successfully treated with intravenous injections of STS. Bertin et al. reviewed the outcome of treating arsenic toxicosis in cattle. The condition is typically fatal but a 50% survival rate was achieved with administration of intravenous fluids and STS. In these conditions, oral administration was ineffective. The lack of toxicity after intravenous administration emphasizes its safety. The ineffectiveness of oral administration suggests that relatively high amounts are required to treat overdoses of poisonous elements.

Contemporary Uses

STS has been approved to treat some rare medical conditions. Calciphylaxis is the most prominent. It is a potentially fatal condition resulting from kidney failure, often of unknown cause. Thrombotic lesions develop, especially in the skin. Hopeful results have been obtained through the use of intravenous STS. It has also been directly applied to dermal lesions in doses of 250 mg/ml with resolution of the lesions over a period of weeks. The success of these treatments is believed to be multifactorial. STS is known to be an anti-calcification agent with vasodilatory and antioxidant properties.

Another STS use is to protect against cisplatin toxicity. Cisplatin is one of the most widely used agents to treat solid tumours. It has adverse effects on renal, neurological, gastrointestinal and hematological systems. Toxic overdoses are common. To avoid this problem, STS has been successfully administered with cisplatin. Efficacy is thought to be related to STS binding to free platinum.
Cyanide poisoning is yet another condition where STS has a treatment role. Cyanide poisoning is uncommon but deadly. It can occur in numerous situations. Examples are fires, pest control programs, and gold mining. Combinations of STS and hydroxycobalamin have proved to be effective. The United States has a standard cyanide antidote kit which first uses a small inhaled dose of amyl nitrite, followed by intravenous sodium nitrite, and finally by intravenous sodium thiosulfate.

STS has shown some promise in treating other conditions. Recently STS was demonstrated to function as an anti-inflammatory agent. For example, in acute liver failure induced in mice by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or LPS/D-Galactosamine, the survival rate was improved by hydrogen sulfide and STS. This at least is partially due to their anti-oxidative functions. STS reacts with GSSG (oxidized glutathione) to produce reduced glutathione in the presence of hydroxyl radicals or peroxides. In addition, STS has a potential to produce hydrogen sulfide by reaction with trans-sulfuration enzymes.

**Future Possibilities**

The greatest potential uses of STS are yet to be tested. We and others have shown it to be an anti-inflammatory agent. Chronic neuroinflammation is closely associated with the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer disease (AD) and Parkinson disease (PD). There are now more than 20 published epidemiological studies showing that people known to be taking anti-inflammatory agents, or known to be suffering from conditions such as arthritis for which such agents are routinely used, have a considerably reduced risk of developing AD. The evidence that a chronic inflammatory reaction may be contributing to neuronal death is not as strong in PD as it is in AD, but there is some evidence that anti-inflammatory agents may have a protective effect.

To illustrate the potential of STS as a neuroprotective agent, we studied its effects as well as those of NaSH as inhibitors of SH-SY5Y neuronal cell death induced by inflammatory agents. These toxins were contained in supernatants from LPS and interferon-γ (IFNγ)-activated glial cells. When human microglia and astrocytes are activated by LPS/IFNγ or IFNγ, they release materials that are toxic to differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. We used two cell viability assays to investigate glial-mediated neurotoxicity and anti-inflammatory effects of STS and NaSH. These were MTT to measure cell survival, and its inverse lactic acid dehydrogenase, to assess cell death. We also employed western blotting to examine the activation of intracellular inflammatory pathways.

We found that STS increases H₂S and GSH expression in human microglia and astrocytes. When the glial cells were treated with NaSH or STS, there was a significant enhancement of neuroprotection. The effect was concentration-dependent and incubation time-dependent. Such treatment reduced the release of TNFα and IL-6, and also attenuated activation of P38 MAPK and NFκB proteins. The compounds tested were not harmful when applied directly to all the cell types. Although NaSH was somewhat more powerful than STS in these in vitro assays, STS has already been approved as an orally available treatment. STS may therefore be a candidate for treating neurodegenerative disorders that have a prominent neuroinflammatory component. Both agents appear to be potentially suitable as pharmaceutical drugs in conditions such as Alzheimer disease and Parkinson disease.

What is important is that STS is an approved agent while NaSH must go through many regulatory steps before it could be approved. For the present, emphasis should be on STS as a potential broad spectrum therapeutic agent.

Recent biomarker studies indicate that AD onset commences as much as 10–15 years before clinical symptoms become manifest. The most relevant ones are CSF Abeta 42 as a differential marker, and positron emission tomography (PET) with Pittsburgh compound B as an integral biomarker. If simple methods of preclinical diagnosis can be achieved, a 10-year window may exist for measures to be instituted that will prevent, delay, or ameliorate any cognitive decline or clinical signs of the disease. A similar period of grace undoubtedly exists for PD since well over half of the substantial nigra neurons are lost before any clinical signs develop.

Conducting a meaningful clinical trial of STS in AD or PD would take years and cost multmillions of dollars. This is unlikely to occur since STS is already available and recovering the cost would be a problem for a pharmaceutical company. The same is true of NSAIDs. The upside is that individuals, known to be at risk, or suspected of being at risk, can treat themselves with both these agents at a trivial cost.
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