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ABSTRACT

The chief goal of the present review is to present clinicoanatomic evidence that, (i) in contrast to most vertebrates, spastic hemiplegia in man is a symptom of damage to the pyramidal tracts, and (ii) although extrapyramidal structures are often injured as a contingency of anatomical proximity in cases of pyramidal damage, the extrapyramidal system plays no role in the production of human spastic hemiplegia. The views herein discussed reconcile several apparent incongruences concerning the pathophysiology of the human pyramidal syndrome. From a neurobiological perspective, the progressive commitment to occasional, habitual and obligate bipedalism fostered a profound internal reorganization of the mammalian brain at the early stages of human phylogenesis. The major anatomical counterpart of this reorganization was an unprecedented increase of the ansa lenticularis fiber system, which ultimately redirected the product of subcortical motor activity up to the motor cortices from which the pyramidal tracts originate. In this sense, while the fundamental motor organization of vertebrates is represented by the extrapyramidal system, the dominant motor plan in humans is uniquely represented by the prepyramidal system.

Introduction

The goal of this review is to present clinicoanatomical evidence that the human motor system is unique in its anatomical and functional organization. This uniqueness is captured by the concept of “prepyramidal system”, which is elaborated at the end of this review. The validity of the concept of prepyramidal system, which fully applies to humans only, has two broad implications. Firstly, when the pyramidal tracts are bilaterally damaged in the cerebral hemispheres or brainstem the syndrome of double (or bilateral) hemiplegia ensues. Indeed, severe and complete bilateral hemiplegia equals the locked-in syndrome; secondly, contrary to prevailing knowledge, associated damage to extrapyramidal structures is irrelevant for the production of the full syndrome of spastic hemiplegia in man. For the purposes of the present review, the pyramidal tract is defined by the collection of fibers that originate in the cerebral cortex and pass through the medullary pyramids without interruption in their way to the motor neuron pools of the spinal cord. This definition includes the aberrant fascicles with a similar cortical origin that end in the motor apparatus of the brainstem. A comprehensive reference list may be found in previous related articles.

Spastic hemiplegia and pyramidal tract damage

Elsewhere I have shown that between 1877 and 2007 fewer than 50 clinicoanatomic reports on hemiplegia were published from which...
reliable clinicoanatomic inferences could be drawn. Over the same period, I was able to unearth very few cases of hemiplegia with intact pyramidal tracts and not even a single case of degeneration of the pyramidal tracts without at least one of the four cardinal signs of the pyramidal syndrome, namely, paralysis, spasticity, hyperactive phasic muscle (“tendon”) reflexes, and the sign of Babinski. The rare cases of hemiplegia with intact pyramidal tracts were accounted for by destruction of aberrant pyramidal tract (PyrT) tract fibers in the tegmentum, hemidystonia misdiagnosed as spastic hemiplegia, or joint fixity due to long-standing immobility from various causes. The only genuine instance of paralysis with intact pyramidal tracts was described as the “primary motor cortex isolation syndrome.”

Notwithstanding the fragmentary nature of the clinicoanatomic evidence, sufficient information exists to allow a formulation of the clinical picture produced by PyrT injury in man. Severe contralateral paralysis comprises the essential symptom of an injury of the PyrT. When the injury results from a static lesion, as in capsular stroke, some recovery is the rule; indeed, recovery may be surprisingly complete or nearly so to the point of allowing a return of the patient to his previous activities. In cases in which recovery is only partial, the paralysis is initially flaccid and areflexic, yet the plantar reflex is often extensor at this point. In a few days to a few weeks, flaccidity gradually gives way to spasticity at the same time that the tendon reflexes reappear and eventually become hyperactive, as indicated by foot clonus and Hoffmann’s sign. These phenomena are most evident on standing and walking as the Wernicke-Mann attitude. In cases in which damage to the pyramidal tracts follows a subacute or slowly progressive course, such as in primary lateral sclerosis, the onset of the pyramidal syndrome is gradual, but all the cardinal signs of the pyramidal syndrome eventually appear. Thus, whereas paralysis reflects a loss of pyramidal function, being thus a deficit symptom, the other three manifestations (the sign of Babinski, hyperactive tendon jerks, and spasticity) are indicative of a release of the segmental mechanisms from pyramidal modulation. This assertion is demonstrated by the fact that a bilateral injury of the pyramidal tracts produces essentially the same clinical motor syndrome observed in transverse sections of the spinal cord.

The most important conclusion fostered by these studies is that the human pyramidal syndrome reflects pyramidal tract damage with no need to invoke collateral damage to other motor structures (e.g., reticular formation and reticulospinal tracts) to explain the cardinal symptoms of spastic hemiplegia.

**Uncertainties on the validity of the concept of pyramidal syndrome**

The view expressed in the preceding section dominated clinical neurology from the last quarter of the nineteenth century approximately up to World War II. Pari passu with the rise of experimental neurology, the concept of extrapyramidal system increasingly competed with the pyramidal concept as the substrate of postural-locomotor mechanisms. In fact, the extrapyramidal concept originally designated the collection of tegmentospinal pathways that run in parallel with the pyramidal tracts and mediated associated movements in dogs. These movements provided the indispensable postural adjustments to the temporally fractionated (“skilled”) movements carried out by the faciorespiratory, fingers and toes muscles. This view eventually implied that most symptoms of the pyramidal syndrome were actually produced by damage to extrapyramidal structures rather than to the pyramidal tracts proper. Although this claim is valid for nonhuman species, it has never shown to be true for human patients; however, it eventually won the dispute and was assimilated by clinical neurology to varying degrees. At least since the 1970’s, most textbooks and articles have taken as established fact that the phenomenon of spastic hemiplegia would largely result either from extrapyramidal injury at several possible levels of the forebrain and brainstem or from a release of extrapyramidal pathways from higher-order centers. The central paradox may be stated as follows: while clinicoanatomic evidence has shown that damage to the pyramidal tracts is necessary and sufficient to produce spastic hemiplegia in man, an ever-growing collection of experiments on rodents, carnivores and nonhuman primates appears to demonstrate exactly the opposite.

**The human motor system is distinct in its anatomical and functional organization from the motor system of the other vertebrates**

On closer inspection, the way out of this conundrum is provided by the obvious interspecies differences on the neuroanatomical organization of the motor systems of vertebrates. As a rule, the mode of posture and locomotion are the main correlates of the motor architecture of a given species. Indeed, the extrapyramidal system is the dominant motor system of most vertebrates, a dominance that is intimately related to the perpendicular orientation of their body axes in relation to gravity. Erect bipedalism, the obligate mode of standing and walking of
our species, affords human beings a unique position in this regard. It has been the defining biological characteristic of hominins and possibly also the prime mover that drove the reorganization of the human brain at the hominin-chimpanzee split.

Compared to the other mammals, the human motor organization is distinguished by an unprecedented increase in bulk of (i) the pyramidal tracts, (ii) the pallido-thalamic projections to the motor cortices, and (iii) the propriospinal system, as well as (iv) by a decrease in the overall bulk of tegmentospinal projections, chiefly the reticulospinal, vestibulospinal, tectospinal, and rubrospinal tracts. In humans, the product of subcortical motor activity must thus be reoriented upwards, as indicated by the remarkable volume of the human ansa lenticularis, lato sensu. The ansa lenticularis system then funnels the motor activity of higher-order cortical areas which, ultimately project in an orderly fashion to the putamen, pallidum, motor thalamus and motor cortices. The human pyramidal tracts embody the product of the sequential and simultaneous activity of several cortico-subcortico-cortical loops which are ultimately translated into behavior through a direct innervation of the motoneuron pools of the brainstem and spinal cord.

This architecture contrasts with the fundamental vertebrate motor blueprint, in which the tegmentospinal tracts play the chief role in movement. These tracts receive afferents from the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia, and run downwards in parallel with the pyramidal tracts to terminate in the brainstem and spinal cord motor neurons. Accordingly, whereas bilateral damage to the pyramidal tracts produces a severe bilateral spastic hemiplegia in humans, in monkeys, for example, it results in loss of individual movements of the fingers and toes without impairing postural-locomotor mechanisms. Experimental damage to the descending brainstem pathways leaving the pyramidal tracts intact, in contrast, renders nonhuman primates severely disabled, an indication of the importance of the tegmentospinal tracts for their motor behavior. In keeping with the scarcity of the tegmentospinal tracts in humans, patients with bilateral pyramidal tract damage are rendered incapable not only of moving their extremities, but the large axial and appendicular muscles as well.

The human prepyramidal system (Figure)

Tradition has loosely referred to the basal ganglia and their related pathways as the "extrapyramidal system". This view reflects a long-held tradition in clinical neurology that equates abnormal involuntary movements and postures (AIMP) with the basal ganglia and pathways. Although this may be valid for most vertebrates, it certainly does not apply to humans. This is so due to the simple fact that, except for a few critical reticulospinal projections, the human extrapyramidal pathways are rather reduced in bulk. Their chief functional role concerns the promotion of faciorespiratory synergies, especially automatic breathing and the extreme emotional expressions of laughing and crying. Moreover, in at least one case...
extensive destruction of the pontomedullary tegmentum leaving the pyramidal tracts intact did not produce any motor impairment of trunk or limbs. Because, as demonstrated by clinicoanatomical studies, the parallel extrapyramidal pathways perform a limited, albeit vital (automatic respiration), role in human movement, one must look for alternative pathways for the insertion of the workings of the basal ganglia into motor behavior. Several independent lines of evidence indicate that the pyramidal tracts are the pathways through which the basal ganglia express their activity. One such compelling evidence is provided by the post-hemiplegic disorders of movement. Choreoathetosis and dystonia are well-known phenomena in some patients with strokes of the striothalamocapsular region. These AIMP become clinically apparent if at least some fibers of the pyramidal tracts are spared in injuries of the internal pallidal segment or its thalamic recipients. If the pyramidal tracts are completely destroyed, the classical hemiplegic attitude sets up and AIMP are not seen. Another converging line of evidence concerns the opposite situation, namely, the conversion of a previous AIMP, such as parkinsonian tremor, into the stereotyped Wernicke-Mann attitude by pyramidal tract damage, again indicating that the abnormal movement or posture was relayed to the segmental motor neuron pools through those tracts.

Conclusions

Knowledge on the anatomical and functional organization of the human pyramidal tracts is surprisingly fragmentary. From our current perspective, the early ideas on the clinical correlates of PyrT damage remains valid today as when they were first enunciated. The full-blown pyramidal syndrome in man reflects a complete lesion of the PyrT at some point in the contralateral cerebral hemisphere or brainstem. The human pyramidal tracts are as distinctive as the adoption of erect bipedalism early in hominin evolution. The phylogenetic changes in the functional anatomy of the pyramidal tracts were concatenated with changes in related anatomical and functional systems, which massively redirect the product of subcortical motor nuclei to the motor cortical areas from which the pyramidal tracts originate. The cerebral organization of movement in man is distinguished from that of the other mammals by a unique prepyramidal organization. These claims are currently amenable to direct investigation with the modern techniques of neuroimaging and electrophysiology.
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